

Clemson University Student Evaluation of Teaching

Instructor Number - 79069
 Instructor Name - Turner, Chad S
 College - Business & Public Affairs
 Department - 1309 ECONOMICS

Fall 2002 Semester
 ECON 212 005

Number of Students Responding - 37
 Number of Students Enrolled - 41

Rating scale from 1=Not At All to 5=Very Much	---1---		---2---		---3---		---4---		---5---		---NR---	Your Class			Same Level			Your Dept				
	Not At All								Very Much		Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med			
THE COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR																						
1. Instructor ... communicated what was expected...	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	15	41%	21	57%	0	0%	4.54	0.56	5.00	3.97	0.97	4.00	4.01	0.95	4.00	
2. Instructor made relevance of course material clear.	0	0%	0	6%	2	5%	11	30%	24	65%	0	0%	4.59	0.60	5.00	4.13	0.93	4.00	4.17	0.91	4.00	
3. Course was well organized.	0	0%	2	5%	1	3%	14	38%	20	54%	0	0%	4.41	0.80	5.00	4.14	0.93	4.00	4.13	0.93	4.00	
4. ...positive interaction between class & instructor.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	22%	29	78%	0	0%	4.78	0.42	5.00	4.03	1.05	4.00	4.10	1.06	4.60	
5. Teaching methods helped me understand course	0	0%	6	0%	1	3%	14	39%	21	58%	0	0%	4.56	0.56	5.00	3.70	1.17	4.00	3.78	1.13	4.00	
6. Verbal communication skills helped me understand...	0	0%	1	3%	2	5%	13	35%	21	57%	0	0%	4.46	0.73	5.00	3.75	1.12	4.00	3.86	1.08	4.00	
7. ...explained expectations re tests & assignments.	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	10	27%	26	70%	0	0%	4.68	0.53	5.00	3.88	1.07	4.00	3.91	1.06	4.00	
8. Instructor informed me about my progress in course.	0	0%	6	0%	8	22%	11	30%	18	49%	0	0%	4.27	0.80	4.00	3.74	1.17	4.00	3.79	1.14	4.00	
9. Feedback gave opportunity to improve performance.	0	0%	1	3%	3	8%	16	43%	17	46%	0	0%	4.32	0.75	4.00	3.66	1.12	4.00	3.69	1.09	4.00	
10. Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	35%	24	65%	0	0%	4.65	0.48	5.00	3.97	1.05	4.00	4.04	1.01	4.00	
11. Grade procedures gave fair eval of my	1	3%	0	0%	2	5%	14	38%	20	54%	0	0%	4.41	0.83	5.00	3.84	1.08	4.00	3.89	1.07	4.00	
12. Comp. to other classes, how much work put into	0	0%	1	3%	13	35%	14	38%	9	24%	0	6%	3.84	0.83	4.00	3.85	0.90	4.00	3.88	0.90	4.00	
13. Comp. to other classes, how difficult was course?	1	3%	1	3%	8	22%	14	38%	13	35%	0	0%	4.00	0.97	4.00	4.02	1.02	4.00	4.01	0.97	4.00	
14. To what extent was this course a requirement for you?	4	11%	1	3%	2	5%	5	14%	25	68%	0	0%	4.24	1.34	5.00	4.28	1.17	5.00	4.28	1.17	5.00	
---Y--- ---N--- ---NR---																						
15. Was this course in your major?	25	68%	12	32%							0	0%										
16. Was this course team taught?	0	0%	37	100%							0	0%										
DEPARTMENT DEVELOPED QUESTIONS.																						
17.	0	0%	1	3%	10	27%	18	49%	8	22%	0	0%	3.89	0.77	4.00	3.52	1.01	4.00	3.66	1.01	4.00	
18.	0	0%	1	3%	2	5%	11	36%	23	62%	0	0%	4.51	0.73	5.00	3.92	1.00	4.00	4.02	0.97	4.00	
19.	0	0%	0	0%	4	11%	11	30%	22	59%	0	0%	4.49	0.69	5.00	4.10	1.02	4.00	4.16	0.97	4.06	
20.	0	0%	2	5%	11	30%	15	41%	9	24%	0	0%	3.84	0.87	4.00	3.97	1.03	4.00	3.96	1.00	4.00	
21.	0	0%	4	11%	14	38%	11	30%	8	22%	0	0%	3.62	0.95	4.00	3.68	1.04	4.00	3.71	1.03	4.00	
22.	0	0%	1	3%	4	11%	17	46%	15	41%	0	0%	4.24	0.76	4.00	3.76	1.02	4.00	3.84	1.01	4.00	
23.	1	3%	0	0%	11	30%	10	27%	15	41%	0	0%	4.03	0.99	4.00	4.39	0.98	5.60	4.46	0.91	5.00	
24.	0	0%	0	0%	3	8%	11	30%	23	62%	0	0%	4.54	0.65	5.00	3.81	1.07	4.00	3.89	1.04	4.00	
25.	0	0%	0	0%	11	31%	16	44%	9	25%	0	0%	3.94	0.75	4.00	3.89	0.84	4.00	4.01	0.84	4.00	
26.	1	3%	2	5%	14	38%	14	38%	6	16%	0	0%	3.59	0.93	4.00	3.75	1.02	4.06	3.82	1.00	4.00	
INSTRUCTOR OPTIONAL QUESTIONS.																						
27.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%										
28.	0	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%										
29.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%										
30.	0	0%	0	0%	0	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%										
31.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%										
The following question is rated from Very Dissatisfied to Very Satisfied																						
INSTRUCTOR AVAILABILITY																						
32. Indicate satisfaction with availability of outside the classroom.	---VD---		---D---		---S---		---VS---		---NR---		Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med			
	0	0%	0	0%	12	50%	12	50%	0	0%	3.50	0.51	3.50	3.24	0.60	3.00	3.30	0.61	3.00			

Clemson University Student Evaluation of Teaching

Instructor Number - 79069
 Instructor Name - Turner, Chad S
 College - Business & Public Affairs
 Department - 1309 ECONOMICS

Fall 2002 Semester
 ECON 212 007

Number of Students Responding - 23
 Number of Students Enrolled - 40

Rating scale from 1=Not At All to 5=Very Much					Your Class			Same Level			Your Dept										
	---1---	---2---	---3---	---4---	---5---	Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med							
THE COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR	Not At All				Very Much																
1. Instructor ... communicated what was expected...	0	0%	1	4%	4	17%	8	35%	10	43%	0%	4.17	0.89	4.00	3.97	0.97	4.00	4.01	0.95	4.00	
2. Instructor made relevance of course material clear.	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	10	43%	12	52%	0%	4.48	0.59	5.00	4.13	0.93	4.00	4.17	0.91	4.00	
3. Course was well organized.	0	0%	1	4%	5	22%	9	39%	8	35%	0%	4.04	0.88	4.00	4.14	0.93	4.00	4.13	0.93	4.00	
4. ...positive interaction between class & instructor.	0	0%	0	0%	2	9%	7	30%	14	61%	0%	4.52	0.67	5.00	4.03	1.05	4.00	4.10	1.00	4.00	
5. Teaching methods helped me understand course material.	1	4%	2	9%	1	4%	9	39%	10	43%	0%	4.09	1.12	4.00	3.70	1.17	4.00	3.78	1.13	4.00	
6. Verbal communication skills helped me understand...	1	4%	3	13%	2	9%	8	35%	9	39%	0%	3.91	1.20	4.00	3.75	1.12	4.00	3.86	1.08	4.00	
7. ...explained expectations re tests & assignments.	0	0%	1	4%	4	17%	8	35%	10	43%	0%	4.17	0.89	4.00	3.88	1.07	4.00	3.91	1.06	4.00	
8. Instructor informed me about my progress in course.	0	0%	1	4%	5	22%	10	43%	7	30%	0%	4.00	0.85	4.00	3.74	1.17	4.00	3.79	1.14	4.00	
9. Feedback gave opportunity to improve performance.	1	5%	1	5%	4	18%	10	45%	6	27%	0%	3.86	1.04	4.00	3.60	1.12	4.00	3.69	1.09	4.00	
10. Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.	0	0%	2	9%	0	0%	7	32%	13	59%	0%	4.41	0.91	5.00	3.97	1.05	4.00	4.04	1.01	4.00	
11. Grade procedures gave fair eval of my understanding.	0	0%	2	9%	2	9%	8	35%	11	48%	0%	4.22	0.95	4.00	3.84	1.08	4.00	3.89	1.07	4.00	
12. Comp. to other classes, how much work put into class.	0	0%	3	13%	7	30%	10	43%	3	13%	0%	3.57	0.90	4.00	3.85	0.90	4.00	3.88	0.90	4.00	
13. Comp. to other classes, how difficult was course?	2	9%	1	4%	7	30%	11	48%	2	9%	0%	3.43	1.04	4.00	4.02	1.02	4.00	4.01	0.97	4.00	
14. To what extent was this course a requirement for you?	1	4%	1	4%	2	9%	4	17%	15	65%	0%	4.35	1.11	5.00	4.28	1.17	5.00	4.28	1.17	5.00	
15. Was this course in your major?	14 61%				9 39%				0 0%												
16. Was this course team taught?	0 0%				23 100%				0 0%												
DEPARTMENT DEVELOPED QUESTIONS.																					
17.	1	4%	3	13%	3	13%	12	52%	4	17%	0	0%	3.65	1.07	4.00	3.52	1.01	4.00	3.66	1.01	4.00
18.	1	4%	0	0%	5	22%	8	35%	9	39%	0	0%	4.04	1.02	4.00	3.92	1.00	4.00	4.02	0.97	4.00
19.	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	9	41%	12	55%	0	0%	4.45	0.74	5.00	4.10	1.02	4.00	4.16	0.97	4.00
20.	1	4%	3	13%	9	39%	9	39%	1	4%	0	0%	3.26	0.92	3.00	3.97	1.03	4.00	3.96	1.00	4.00
21.	2	9%	4	18%	6	27%	7	32%	3	14%	0	0%	3.23	1.19	3.00	3.68	1.04	4.00	3.71	1.03	4.00
22.	0	0%	3	13%	2	9%	9	39%	9	39%	0	0%	4.04	1.02	4.00	3.76	1.02	4.00	3.84	1.01	4.00
23.	0	0%	1	4%	5	22%	5	22%	12	52%	0	0%	4.22	0.95	5.00	4.39	0.98	5.00	4.46	0.91	5.00
24.	0	0%	3	13%	2	9%	5	22%	13	57%	0	0%	4.22	1.09	5.00	3.81	1.07	4.00	3.89	1.04	4.00
25.	0	0%	0	0%	4	17%	11	48%	8	35%	0	0%	4.17	0.72	4.00	3.89	0.84	4.00	4.01	0.84	4.00
26.	0	0%	4	17%	4	17%	6	26%	9	39%	0	0%	3.87	1.14	4.00	3.75	1.02	4.00	3.82	1.00	4.00
INSTRUCTOR OPTIONAL QUESTIONS.																					
27.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%											
28.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%											
29.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%											
30.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%											
31.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%											

The following question is rated from Very Dissatisfied to Very Satisfied.

INSTRUCTOR AVAILABILITY

32. Indicate satisfaction with availability of instructor outside the classroom.	---VD---	---D---	---S---	---VS---	---NR---	Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med					
	0	0%	0	0%	6	67%	3	33%	0	0%	3.33	0.50	3.00	3.24	0.60	3.00	3.30	0.61	3.00

Clemson University Student Evaluation of Teaching

Instructor Number - 79069
 Instructor Name - Turner, Chad S
 College - Business & Public Affairs
 Department - 1309 ECONOMICS

Spring 2003 Semester
 ECON 212 020
 Number of Students Responding - 28
 Number of Students Enrolled - 42

Rating scale from 1=Not At All to 5=Very Much						---NR--	Your Class			Same Level			Your Dept		
	---1--- Not At All	---2---	---3---	---4---	---5--- Very Much		Mean--	Std--	Med	Mean--	Std--	Med	Mean--	Std--	Med
THE COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR															
1. Instructor ...communicated what was expected...	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	17 61%	10 36%	0%	4.32	0.5	4.00	4.09	0.86	4.00	4.07	0.92	4.00
2. Instructor made relevance of course material clear.	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	12 43%	16 57%	0%	4.57	0.50	5.00	4.18	0.86	4.60	4.21	0.87	4.00
3. Course was well organized.	0 0%	0 0%	2 7%	14 50%	12 43%	0%	4.36	0.62	4.00	4.17	0.87	4.00	4.07	0.95	4.00
4. ...positive interaction between class & instructor.	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	6 21%	22 79%	0%	4.79	0.42	5.00	4.17	0.92	4.00	4.22	0.92	4.00
5. Teaching methods helped me understand course	0 0%	0 0%	2 7%	15 54%	11 39%	0%	4.32	0.61	4.00	3.78	1.07	4.00	3.86	1.09	4.00
6. Verbal communication skills helped me understand...	0 0%	0 0%	4 14%	15 54%	9 32%	0%	4.18	0.67	4.00	3.84	1.04	4.00	3.93	1.04	4.00
7. ...explained expectations re tests & assignments.	0 0%	0 0%	6 21%	11 39%	11 39%	0%	4.18	0.77	4.00	3.97	0.95	4.00	3.98	1.01	4.00
8. Instructor informed me about my progress in course.	0 0%	0 0%	7 25%	13 46%	8 29%	0%	4.04	0.74	4.00	3.91	1.04	4.00	3.92	1.07	4.00
9. Feedback gave opportunity to improve performance.	0 0%	0 0%	10 36%	12 43%	6 21%	0%	3.86	0.76	4.60	3.70	1.01	4.00	3.78	1.04	4.00
10. Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	14 50%	13 46%	0%	4.43	0.57	4.06	4.10	0.96	4.00	4.12	0.99	4.00
11. Grade procedures gave fair eval of my	0 0%	0 0%	4 14%	16 57%	8 29%	0%	4.14	0.65	4.00	3.95	0.95	4.00	3.99	0.99	4.00
12. Comp. to other classes, how much work put into	0 0%	1 4%	9 32%	14 50%	4 14%	0%	3.75	0.75	4.00	3.71	0.96	4.00	3.73	0.96	4.00
13. Comp. to other classes, how difficult was course?	0 0%	0 0%	8 29%	16 57%	4 14%	0%	3.86	0.65	4.00	3.86	0.95	4.00	3.83	0.97	4.00
14. To what extent was this course a requirement for you?	0 0%	0 0%	2 7%	4 15%	21 78%	0%	4.70	0.61	5.00	4.39	1.05	5.00	4.27	1.15	5.00
DEPARTMENT DEVELOPED QUESTIONS.															
15. Was this course in your major?	19 68%	9 32%				0 0%									
16. Was this course team taught?	1 4%	27 96%				0 0%									
17.	0 0%	2 7%	11 39%	10 36%	5 18%	0 0%	3.64	0.87	4.00	3.45	1.02	3.00	3.69	1.06	4.00
18.	0 0%	0 0%	5 18%	11 39%	12 43%	0 0%	4.25	0.75	4.00	3.97	0.91	4.60	4.08	0.95	4.00
19.	0 0%	0 0%	2 7%	11 39%	15 54%	0 0%	4.46	0.64	5.00	4.03	1.00	4.00	4.11	1.01	4.06
20.	0 0%	2 7%	6 21%	17 61%	3 11%	0 0%	3.75	0.75	4.60	3.72	1.03	4.06	3.73	1.02	4.00
21.	0 0%	5 18%	8 29%	12 43%	3 11%	0 0%	3.46	0.92	4.00	3.44	1.06	3.50	3.50	1.08	4.00
22.	0 0%	0 0%	7 25%	16 57%	5 18%	0 0%	3.93	0.66	4.00	3.70	1.03	4.00	3.84	1.02	4.00
23.	0 0%	2 7%	2 7%	14 50%	10 36%	0 0%	4.14	0.85	4.00	4.25	1.08	5.00	4.31	1.02	5.00
24.	0 0%	0 0%	3 11%	12 43%	13 46%	0 0%	4.36	0.68	4.00	3.81	0.99	4.00	3.96	1.01	4.00
25.	0 0%	0 0%	4 14%	18 64%	6 21%	0 0%	4.07	0.60	4.00	4.10	0.76	4.00	4.23	0.77	4.00
26.	0 0%	5 18%	3 11%	12 43%	8 29%	0 0%	3.82	1.06	4.00	3.82	1.01	4.00	3.91	1.04	4.00
INSTRUCTOR OPTIONAL QUESTIONS.															
27.	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 6%	0 0%	0 0%									
28.	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%									
29.	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%									
30.	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%									
31.	0 0%	0 0%	0 6%	0 0%	0 0%	0 6%									
The following question is rated from Very Dissatisfied to Very Satisfied.															
INSTRUCTOR AVAILABILITY															
32. Indicate satisfaction with availability of outside the classroom.	---VD---	---D---	---S---	---VS---		---NR--	Mean--	Std--	Med	Mean--	Std--	Med	Mean--	Std--	Med
	0 0%	0 0%	13 68%	6 32%		0 0%	3.32	0.48	3.00	3.32	0.57	3.00	3.38	0.59	3.00

Clemson University Student Evaluation of Teaching
 Instructor Number - 79070
 Instructor Name - Turner, Chad S
 College - Business & Public Affairs
 Department - 1309 ECONOMICS

Spring 2003 Semester
 ECON 212 022
 Number of Students Responding - 17
 Number of Students Enrolled - 38

Rating scale from 1=Not At All to 5=Very Much	Your Class						Same Level			Your Dept																	
	Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med															
THE COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR																											
1. Instructor ... communicated what was expected...	4.47	0.62	5.00	4.09	0.86	4.0	4.07	0.92	4.00																		
2. Instructor made relevance of course material clear.	4.59	0.62	5.00	4.18	0.86	4.00	4.21	0.87	4.00																		
3. Course was well organized.	4.18	0.88	4.00	4.17	0.87	4.00	4.07	0.95	4.00																		
4. ...positive interaction between class & instructor.	4.71	0.59	5.00	4.17	0.92	4.00	4.22	0.92	4.00																		
5. Teaching methods helped me understand course	4.29	0.77	4.00	3.78	1.07	4.00	3.86	1.09	4.00																		
6. Verbal communication skills helped me understand...	4.44	0.81	5.00	3.84	1.04	4.60	3.93	1.04	4.00																		
7. ...explained expectations re tests & assignments.	4.29	0.99	5.00	3.97	0.95	4.00	3.98	1.01	4.00																		
8. Instructor informed me about my progress in course.	3.88	1.05	4.00	3.91	1.04	4.00	3.92	1.07	4.00																		
9. Feedback gave opportunity to improve performance.	3.76	1.20	4.00	3.70	1.01	4.00	3.78	1.04	4.00																		
10. Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.	4.41	0.87	5.00	4.10	0.96	4.00	4.12	0.99	4.00																		
11. Grade procedures gave fair eval of my	4.00	1.17	4.00	3.95	0.95	4.00	3.99	0.99	4.00																		
12. Comp. to other classes, how much work put into	4.00	0.94	4.00	3.71	0.96	4.00	3.73	0.96	4.00																		
13. Comp. to other classes, how difficult was course?	3.88	1.11	4.00	3.86	0.95	4.00	3.83	0.97	4.60																		
14. To what extent was this course a requirement for you?	4.88	0.33	5.00	4.39	1.05	5.00	4.27	1.15	5.00																		
15. Was this course in your major?	9 53%			7 41%			1 6%																				
16. Was this course team taught?	0 0%			17 100%			0 0%																				
DEPARTMENT DEVELOPED QUESTIONS.																											
17.	0 0%			1 6%			5 29%			5 29%			6 35%			0 0%			3.94	0.97	4.00	3.45	1.02	3.00	3.69	1.06	4.00
18.	1 6%			1 6%			1 6%			5 29%			9 53%			0 0%			4.18	1.19	5.00	3.97	0.91	4.00	4.08	0.95	4.00
19.	0 0%			0 0%			1 6%			5 29%			11 65%			0 0%			4.59	6.62	5.00	4.03	1.00	4.00	4.11	1.01	4.00
20.	0 0%			0 0%			3 18%			9 53%			5 29%			0 0%			4.12	0.70	4.00	3.72	1.03	4.00	3.73	1.02	4.00
21.	0 0%			2 12%			5 29%			7 41%			3 18%			0 0%			3.65	0.93	4.00	3.44	1.06	3.50	3.50	1.08	4.00
22.	0 0%			1 6%			2 12%			9 53%			5 29%			0 0%			4.06	0.83	4.00	3.70	1.03	4.00	3.84	1.02	4.00
23.	1 6%			0 0%			5 29%			0 0%			11 65%			0 0%			4.18	1.24	5.00	4.25	1.08	5.00	4.31	1.02	5.00
24.	0 0%			0 0%			1 6%			8 47%			0 0%			0 0%			4.41	0.62	4.00	3.81	0.99	4.00	3.96	1.01	4.00
25.	0 0%			0 0%			2 12%			11 65%			4 24%			0 0%			4.12	0.60	4.00	4.10	0.76	4.00	4.23	0.77	4.00
26.	1 6%			2 12%			3 18%			7 41%			4 24%			0 0%			3.65	1.17	4.00	3.82	1.01	4.00	3.91	1.04	4.00
INSTRUCTOR OPTIONAL QUESTIONS.																											
27.	0 0%			0 0%			0 6%			0 0%			0 0%			0 0%											
28.	0 0%			0 6%			0 6%			0 0%			0 0%			0 0%											
29.	0 0%			0 0%			0 0%			0 0%			0 0%			0 0%											
30.	0 0%			0 0%			0 0%			0 0%			0 0%			0 0%											
31.	0 0%			0 0%			0 0%			0 0%			0 0%			0 0%											
The following question is rated from Very Dissatisfied to Very Satisfied.																											
INSTRUCTOR AVAILABILITY																											
32. Indicate satisfaction with availability of outside the classroom.	0 0%			0 0%			4 40%			6 60%			0 0%						3.60	0.52	4.00	3.32	0.57	3.00	3.38	0.59	3.00

Clemson University Student Evaluation of Teaching
 Instructor Number - 79079
 Instructor Name - Turner, Chad S
 College - Business & Public Affairs
 Department - 1309 ECONOMICS

Fall 2003 Semester
 ECON 212 003
 Number of Students Responding - 35
 Number of Students Enrolled - 43

Rating scale from 1=Not At ALL to 5=Very Much							Your Class			Same Level			Your Dept		
	--1---	---2---	---3---	---4---	---5---	---NR---	Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med
THE COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR	Not At All				Very Much										
1. Instructor ... communicated what was expected...	0 0%	0 0%	3 9%	11 32%	20 59%	1 0%	4.50	0.66	5.00	4.05	0.97	4.00	4.06	0.95	4.00
2. Instructor made relevance of course material clear.	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	13 38%	20 59%	1 0%	4.56	0.56	5.00	4.13	0.94	4.00	4.18	0.91	4.00
3. Course was well organized.	0 0%	1 3%	4 12%	13 39%	15 45%	2 0%	4.27	0.80	4.00	4.03	1.00	4.00	4.04	0.99	4.00
4. ...positive interaction between class & instructor.	0 0%	1 3%	2 6%	8 24%	23 68%	1 0%	4.56	0.75	5.00	4.18	0.99	4.00	4.21	0.99	5.00
5. Teaching methods helped me understand course	0 0%	1 3%	5 15%	9 26%	19 56%	1 0%	4.35	0.85	5.00	3.75	1.16	4.00	3.82	1.16	4.00
6. Verbal communication skills helped me understand...	0 0%	2 6%	5 15%	11 32%	16 47%	1 0%	4.21	0.91	4.00	3.76	1.20	4.00	3.87	1.14	4.00
7. ...explained expectations re tests & assignments.	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	14 41%	19 56%	1 0%	4.53	0.56	5.00	4.02	1.03	4.00	3.98	1.05	4.00
8. Instructor informed me about my progress in course.	0 0%	1 3%	4 12%	9 26%	20 59%	1 0%	4.41	0.82	5.00	3.74	1.12	4.00	3.78	1.12	4.00
9. Feedback gave opportunity to improve performance.	0 0%	2 6%	5 15%	11 32%	16 47%	1 0%	4.21	0.91	4.00	3.71	1.11	4.00	3.75	1.11	4.00
10. Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.	0 0%	0 0%	3 9%	10 29%	21 62%	1 0%	4.53	0.66	5.00	4.02	1.04	4.00	4.06	1.04	4.00
11. Grade procedures gave fair eval of my	0 0%	0 0%	3 9%	19 56%	12 35%	1 0%	4.26	0.62	4.00	3.95	1.01	4.00	3.96	1.02	4.00
12. Comp. to other classes, how much work put into	1 3%	0 0%	8 24%	20 59%	5 15%	1 0%	3.82	0.80	4.00	3.74	0.96	4.00	3.82	0.95	4.00
13. Comp. to other classes, how difficult was course?	1 3%	0 0%	11 32%	13 38%	9 26%	1 0%	3.85	0.93	4.00	3.91	1.01	4.00	3.93	1.00	4.00
14. To what extent was this course a requirement for you?	3 9%	1 3%	3 9%	4 12%	22 67%	2 0%	4.24	1.30	5.00	4.32	1.15	5.00	4.25	1.16	5.00

	---NR---					
15. Was this course in your major?	17 50%	17 50%				1 0%
16. Was this course team taught?	0 0%	34 100%				1 0%

DEPARTMENT DEVELOPED QUESTIONS.

17.	1 3%	2 6%	14 41%	13 38%	4 12%	1 0%	3.50	0.90	3.50	3.48	1.03	4.00	3.68	1.04	4.00
18.	0 0%	1 3%	3 9%	12 35%	18 53%	1 0%	4.38	0.78	5.00	3.92	1.01	4.00	4.03	1.01	4.00
19.	1 3%	0 0%	6 18%	13 38%	14 41%	1 0%	4.15	0.93	4.00	4.03	1.04	4.00	4.14	1.01	4.00
20.	1 3%	0 0%	15 44%	13 38%	5 15%	1 0%	3.62	0.85	4.00	3.94	1.00	4.00	3.94	0.99	4.00
21.	2 6%	1 3%	16 47%	10 29%	5 15%	1 0%	3.44	0.99	3.00	3.63	1.04	4.00	3.69	1.01	4.00
22.	1 3%	0 0%	9 26%	16 47%	8 24%	1 0%	3.88	0.88	4.00	3.64	1.04	4.00	3.77	1.06	4.00
23.	4 12%	0 0%	4 12%	8 24%	17 52%	2 0%	4.03	1.33	5.00	4.26	1.17	5.00	4.38	1.06	5.00
24.	0 0%	1 3%	3 9%	10 30%	19 58%	2 0%	4.42	0.79	5.00	3.74	1.12	4.00	3.85	1.13	4.00
25.	0 0%	0 0%	7 22%	15 47%	10 31%	3 0%	4.09	0.73	4.00	4.02	0.81	4.00	4.12	0.79	4.00
26.	0 0%	5 16%	10 31%	13 41%	4 13%	3 0%	3.50	0.92	4.00	3.83	0.91	4.00	3.88	0.97	4.00

INSTRUCTOR OPTIONAL QUESTIONS.

	-1-or-Y-	-2-or-N-	---3---	---4---	---5---	---NR---	Mean	Std	Med
27.	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	35 1%			
28.	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	35 1%			
29.	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	35 1%			
30.	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	35 1%			
31.	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	35 1%			

The following question is rated from Very Dissatisfied to Very Satisfied.

INSTRUCTOR AVAILABILITY

	---VD---	---D---	---S---	---VS---	---NR---	Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med
32. Indicate satisfaction with availability of outside the classroom.	0 0%	0 0%	5 29%	12 71%	18 1%	3.71	0.47	3.00	3.34	0.62	3.00	3.39	0.64	3.00

Clemson University Student Evaluation of Teaching
 Instructor Number - 79078
 Instructor Name - Turner, Chad S
 College - Business & Public Affairs
 Department - 1309 ECONOMICS

Fall 2003 Semester
 ECON 212 001
 Number of Students Responding- 26
 Number of Students Enrolled - 38

Rating scale from 1=Not At ALL to 5=Very Much	---1---		---2---		---3---		---4---		---5---		---NR---		Your Class			Same Level			Your Dept			
	Not At	AL											Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med	
THE COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR																						
1. Instructor ... communicated what was expected...	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	10	38%	15	58%	0	0%	4.54	0.58	5.00	4.05	0.97	4.00	4.06	0.95	4.00	
2. Instructor made relevance of course material	0	0%	1	4%	1	4%	8	31%	16	62%	0	0%	4.50	0.76	5.00	4.13	0.94	4.00	4.18	0.91	4.00	
3. Course was well organized.	0	0%	0	0%	3	12%	11	42%	12	46%	0	0%	4.35	0.69	4.00	4.03	1.00	4.00	4.04	0.99	4.00	
4. ...positive interaction between class &	0	0%	0	0%	4	15%	8	31%	14	54%	0	0%	4.38	0.75	5.00	4.18	0.99	4.00	4.21	0.99	5.00	
5. Teaching methods helped me understand course	0	0%	1	4%	4	15%	6	23%	15	58%	0	0%	4.35	0.89	5.00	3.75	1.16	4.00	3.82	1.16	4.00	
6. Verbal communication skills helped me	0	0%	0	0%	3	12%	7	27%	16	62%	0	0%	4.50	0.71	5.00	3.76	1.20	4.00	3.87	1.14	4.00	
7. ...explained expectations re tests & assignments.	0	0%	1	4%	1	4%	8	31%	16	62%	0	0%	4.50	0.76	5.00	4.02	1.03	4.00	3.98	1.05	4.00	
8. Instructor informed me about my progress in	0	0%	4	15%	3	12%	13	50%	6	23%	0	0%	3.81	0.98	4.00	3.74	1.12	4.00	3.78	1.12	4.00	
9. Feedback gave opportunity to improve performance.	0	0%	1	4%	6	24%	11	44%	7	28%	1	0%	3.96	0.84	4.00	3.71	1.11	4.00	3.75	1.11	4.00	
10. Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.	0	0%	0	0%	3	12%	7	27%	16	62%	0	0%	4.50	0.71	5.00	4.02	1.04	4.00	4.06	1.04	4.00	
11. Grade procedures gave fair eval of my	0	0%	0	0%	4	15%	12	46%	10	38%	0	0%	4.23	0.71	4.00	3.95	1.01	4.00	3.96	1.02	4.00	
12. Comp. to other classes, how much work put into	0	0%	3	12%	6	23%	12	46%	5	19%	0	0%	3.73	0.92	4.00	3.74	0.96	4.00	3.82	0.95	4.00	
13. Comp. to other classes, how difficult was course?	0	0%	3	12%	7	27%	9	35%	7	27%	0	0%	3.77	0.99	4.00	3.91	1.01	4.00	3.93	1.00	4.00	
14. To what extent was this course a requirement for you?	2	8%	0	0%	1	4%	3	12%	20	77%	0	0%	4.50	1.14	5.00	4.32	1.15	5.00	4.25	1.16	5.00	

	---Y---		---N---		---NR---	
15. Was this course in your major?	15	58%	11	42%	0	0%
16. Was this course team taught?	0	0%	26	100%	0	0%

DEPARTMENT DEVELOPED QUESTIONS.																							
17.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	1%											
18.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	1%											
19.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	1%											
20.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	1%											
21.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	1%											
22.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	1%											
23.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	1%											
24.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	1%											
25.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	1%											
26.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	1%											

INSTRUCTOR OPTIONAL QUESTIONS.	1-or-Y-	2-or-N-	3-	4-	5-	NR-	Mean	Std	Med
27.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	1%	
28.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	1%	
29.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	1%	
30.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	1%	
31.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	1%	

The following question is rated from Very Dissatisfied to Very Satisfied.

INSTRUCTOR AVAILABILITY	---VD---	---D---	---S---	---VS---	---NR---	Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med	Mean	Std	Med					
32. Indicate satisfaction with availability of outside the classroom.	0	0%	0	0%	14	67%	7	33%	5	0%	3.33	0.48	3.00	3.33	0.6	3.0	3.39	0.64	3.00